

Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
Preface	v
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xi
Nomenclature	xiii
English Symbols	xiii
Greek Symbols	xiv
Sub- and Superscripts	xv
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xv
Definitions	xv
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Theoretical Background	7
2.1 Chemical Sensors	7
2.1.1 Ion-Selective Electrodes	9
2.2 Definitions of Basic Electrochemical Quantities	17
2.2.1 Concentration	17
2.2.2 Activity	20
2.2.3 Ionic Strength	21
2.2.4 pH, pX	22
2.3 Models of Physico-Chemical Phenomena	22
2.3.1 Potential of ion-selective electrode (ISE) <i>vs.</i> Sample Activity	22
2.3.2 The Activity Coefficient Models	35
2.4 The Calibration Methods of Ion-Selective Electrodes	40
2.4.1 Calibration Procedures That Use Minimum Number of Measurements	41
2.4.2 Calibration Procedures That Optimally Fit Functions to Measuring Points	44
2.5 The Basic Knowledge of Uncertainty Calculations	45
2.5.1 Error of Measurement	46
2.5.2 Systematic Error	46
2.5.3 Random Error	46
2.5.4 Measurement Uncertainty	47
2.5.5 Type A Evaluation of Standard Uncertainty	47
2.5.6 Type B Evaluation of Standard Uncertainty	50
2.5.7 Propagation of Errors and Uncertainties	50
2.5.8 Expanded Uncertainty of Measurement	51
Chapter 3 Simulations and Experiments	53
3.1 Tools Used in the Work	54
3.1.1 Hardware and Software	54
3.1.2 Laboratory	55
3.1.3 Summary	57

3.2	Procedure for Automatic Determination of Characteristics of Several ISEs	58
3.2.1	Description of the Procedure	58
3.2.2	Preliminary Verification of the Procedure	59
3.2.3	Automation of the Procedure	60
3.2.4	Testing the Procedure	62
3.2.5	Summary	63
3.3	Algorithm for Determining Calibration Parameters	63
3.3.1	Derivation	64
3.3.2	Simulations	67
3.3.3	Summary	79
3.4	Exemplary Uncertainty Evaluation	79
3.4.1	Procedure 1	80
3.4.2	Procedure 2	81
3.4.3	Summary	82
3.5	Evaluating of Parameters' Uncertainties of ISE's Model	82
3.5.1	Algebraic Solution	82
3.5.2	Simulation Solution	83
3.5.3	Summary	87
3.6	Effect of the Parameters' Uncertainties on the Result of Measurement	87
3.6.1	Theory	88
3.6.2	Simulations	89
3.6.3	Summary	92
3.7	Comparison between the Nikolsky–Eisenman and Phase-Boundary Potential Models	92
3.7.1	Charges on All Ions That Influence on the Response Are the Same	93
3.7.2	Influence of One Univalent Ion and of One Divalent Ion on the Response	94
3.7.3	Influence of Mixture of Univalent and Divalent Ions on the Response	98
3.7.4	Summary	101
3.8	Influence of the Liquid-Junction Potential on the Measured Potential	103
3.8.1	Potential Difference between Two Reference Electrodes	103
3.8.2	Checking the Impact of Secondary Ions in the Probe on the Liquid-Junction Potential	104
3.8.3	Summary	107
3.9	Activity Coefficients Calculated Using Simplified Models and Their Uncertainties	107
3.9.1	Theory	108
3.9.2	Simulations	110
3.9.3	Summary	116
Chapter 4	General Summary and Conclusions	119
4.1	Summary of Major Results	120
4.2	Future Work	121
4.3	Author's Publications	121
	Bibliography	123
	Appendix A Manufacturers' Data	129
	Appendix B Details of the ISEC-1	133

List of Tables

3.1	Initial values of parameters used during the tests of algorithms	68
3.2	Results of simulations of the algorithm working with their errors of parameters	69
3.3	Range of the randomized ISE's parameters and initial values for the algorithm.	70
3.4	Requirements of estimates used during simulations and their named conditions.	70
3.5	Percentage of estimates in experiments that use guesses.	72
3.6	Uncertainty budget of an exemplary solution obtained using Procedure 1.	80
3.7	Uncertainty budget of an exemplary solution obtained using Procedure 2.	81
3.8	Results of checking the correctness of the activity determination.	89
3.9	Selected additional errors of the mean activity coefficient of NaCl <i>vs.</i> chemical composition for ionic strength equals 1 mol/kg .	110
3.10	The parameter values describing the maximum additional error, $\delta_{i,\max}^\circ$, and values of the errors <i>vs.</i> ionic strength.	111
A.1	Feature of the chemical reagents used during experiments	129
A.2	Feature of the digital scales used during experiments	130
A.3	Expanded uncertainties of the measuring glasses used during experiments	130
A.4	Feature of the ion-selective membranes used during experiments	131
A.5	Feature of the pH/ion meter used during experiments	131
B.1	Component list of the ISEC-1.	133

List of Figures

1.1	The simplest measuring system with an ion-selective electrode.	2
2.1	Glass reference electrode and glass ion-selective electrode.	13
2.2	Crystalline or PVC ion-selective electrode.	14
2.3	Ion-selective micro-electrode.	15
2.4	Solid-contact ion-selective electrode.	16
2.5	Schematic cross-section of a membrane	31
2.6	Plot of ISE answer with the limit of detection pointed.	33
3.1	Cause and effect diagram presenting uncertainty sources in the multi-component potentiometric measurement of molarity c_B .	53
3.2	Schematic diagram of the SAWCEJS system.	61
3.3	Photos of the ISEC-1.	62
3.4	Percentage of estimates <i>vs.</i> number of iterations.	71
3.5	Percentage of estimates in experiments that use guesses.	72
3.6	Percentage of estimates <i>vs.</i> stretch factor of parameter spans.	73
3.7	Percentage of estimates <i>vs.</i> standard deviation of potential noise.	74
3.8	Percentage of estimates <i>vs.</i> standard deviations of activity noise σ_{r2} .	76
3.9	Arrangement of measuring points on the pX plane.	77
3.10	Change of percentage of estimates during reduction of measuring points.	78
3.11	Arrangement of measuring points in the pX space (3D).	79
3.12	Parameters' uncertainties <i>vs.</i> uncertainties of measuring points – part A.	84
3.13	Parameters' uncertainties <i>vs.</i> uncertainties of measuring points – part B.	85
3.14	Parameters' uncertainties <i>vs.</i> uncertainties of measuring points – part C.	85
3.15	Relative uncertainty of determined activity when the cell constant and Nernstian slope are noised.	90
3.16	Relative uncertainty of determined activity when the selectivity coefficient and limit of detection are noised.	91
3.17	Theoretical comparison of the phase-boundary potential (PBP) and Nikolsky–Eisenman (NE) models.	94
3.18	Comparison of responses of the PBP and NE models with measuring data.	94
3.19	Voltage differences between the univalent NE model and the PBP model.	95
3.20	Voltage differences between the divalent NE model and the PBP model.	97
3.21	Voltage difference between the univalent NE model and the PBP model for mixture of many univalent and ten divalent ions.	100
3.22	Voltage difference between the divalent NE model and the PBP model for mixture of ten univalent and many divalent ions.	101
3.23	Voltage differences between two different reference electrodes.	104

3.24	Impact of concentrations ratio of primary to secondary ion species on the liquid-junction potential.	104
3.25	Impact of probe contents on the liquid-junction potential.	105
3.26	Impact of inner solution on the liquid-junction potential.	106
3.27	Indexes used to describe an activity coefficient	108
3.28	Activity coefficients of cations <i>vs.</i> ionic strength for chlorides.	112
3.29	Absolute values of additional errors, $ \delta^\circ $, <i>vs.</i> ionic strength for chlorides.	113
3.30	Activity coefficients of ions <i>vs.</i> cationic strength for bromides.	114
3.31	Absolute values of additional errors, $ \delta^\circ $, <i>vs.</i> ionic strength for bromides.	115
3.32	Activity coefficients and absolute values of additional errors, $ \delta^\circ $, <i>vs.</i> ionic strength for anions.	116
B.1	Circuit diagram of ISEC-1.	134